

---

---

**Information security, cybersecurity  
and privacy protection — Evaluation  
criteria for IT security — Methodology  
for IT security evaluation**

*Sécurité de l'information, cybersécurité et protection de la vie  
privée — Critères d'évaluation pour la sécurité des technologies de  
l'information — Méthodologie pour l'évaluation de sécurité*





**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO/IEC 2022

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

## Table of Contents

<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>x</b>
<b>FOREWORD</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>xii</b>
<b>1 SCOPE</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 NORMATIVE REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>4 ABBREVIATED TERMS</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>5 TERMINOLOGY</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>6 VERB USAGE</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>7 GENERAL EVALUATION GUIDANCE</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>8 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE ISO/IEC 15408 SERIES AND ISO/IEC 18045 STRUCTURES</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>9 EVALUATION PROCESS AND RELATED TASKS</b> .....	<b>5</b>
9.1 GENERAL .....	5
9.2 EVALUATION PROCESS OVERVIEW .....	6
9.2.1 Objectives .....	6
9.2.2 Responsibilities of the roles .....	6
9.2.3 Relationship of roles .....	6
9.2.4 General evaluation model .....	7
9.2.5 Evaluator verdicts .....	7
9.3 EVALUATION INPUT TASK .....	9
9.3.1 Objectives .....	9
9.3.2 Application notes .....	9
9.3.3 Management of evaluation evidence sub-task .....	10
9.4 EVALUATION SUB-ACTIVITIES .....	10
9.5 EVALUATION OUTPUT TASK .....	10
9.5.1 Objectives .....	10
9.5.2 Management of evaluation outputs .....	11
9.5.3 Application notes .....	11
9.5.4 Write OR sub-task .....	11
9.5.5 Write ETR sub-task .....	11
<b>10 CLASS APE: PROTECTION PROFILE EVALUATION</b> .....	<b>19</b>
10.1 GENERAL .....	19
10.2 RE-USING THE EVALUATION RESULTS OF CERTIFIED PPS .....	19
10.3 PP INTRODUCTION (APE_INT) .....	20
10.3.1 Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_INT.1) .....	20
10.4 CONFORMANCE CLAIMS (APE_CCL) .....	21
10.4.1 Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_CCL.1) .....	21
10.5 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION (APE_SPD) .....	31
10.5.1 Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_SPD.1) .....	31
10.6 SECURITY OBJECTIVES (APE_OBJ) .....	32
10.6.1 Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_OBJ.1) .....	32
10.6.2 Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_OBJ.2) .....	33
10.7 EXTENDED COMPONENTS DEFINITION (APE_ECD) .....	36
10.7.1 Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_ECD.1) .....	36

10.8	SECURITY REQUIREMENTS (APE_REQ) .....	40
10.8.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_REQ.1)</i> .....	40
10.8.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_REQ.2)</i> .....	45
<b>11</b>	<b>CLASS ACE: PROTECTION PROFILE CONFIGURATION EVALUATION .....</b>	<b>49</b>
11.1	GENERAL .....	49
11.2	PP-MODULE INTRODUCTION (ACE_INT) .....	51
11.2.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACE_INT.1)</i> .....	51
11.3	PP-MODULE CONFORMANCE CLAIMS (ACE_CCL) .....	53
11.3.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACE_CCL.1)</i> .....	53
11.4	PP-MODULE SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION (ACE_SPD) .....	58
11.4.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACE_SPD.1)</i> .....	58
11.5	PP-MODULE SECURITY OBJECTIVES (ACE_OBJ) .....	59
11.5.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACE_OBJ.1)</i> .....	59
11.5.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACE_OBJ.2)</i> .....	60
11.6	PP-MODULE EXTENDED COMPONENTS DEFINITION (ACE_ECD) .....	63
11.6.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACE_ECD.1)</i> .....	63
11.7	PP-MODULE SECURITY REQUIREMENTS (ACE_REQ) .....	67
11.7.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACE_REQ.1)</i> .....	67
11.7.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACE_REQ.2)</i> .....	72
11.8	PP-MODULE CONSISTENCY (ACE_MCO) .....	76
11.8.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACE_MCO.1)</i> .....	76
11.9	PP-CONFIGURATION CONSISTENCY (ACE_CCO) .....	79
11.9.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACE_CCO.1)</i> .....	79
<b>12</b>	<b>CLASS ASE: SECURITY TARGET EVALUATION .....</b>	<b>87</b>
12.1	GENERAL .....	87
12.2	APPLICATION NOTES .....	87
12.2.1	<i>Re-using the evaluation results of certified PPs</i> .....	87
12.3	ST INTRODUCTION (ASE_INT) .....	88
12.3.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_INT.1)</i> .....	88
12.4	CONFORMANCE CLAIMS (ASE_CCL) .....	91
12.4.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_CCL.1)</i> .....	91
12.5	SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION (ASE_SPD) .....	105
12.5.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_SPD.1)</i> .....	105
12.6	SECURITY OBJECTIVES (ASE_OBJ) .....	106
12.6.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_OBJ.1)</i> .....	106
12.6.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_OBJ.2)</i> .....	107
12.7	EXTENDED COMPONENTS DEFINITION (ASE_ECD) .....	109
12.7.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_ECD.1)</i> .....	109
12.8	SECURITY REQUIREMENTS (ASE_REQ) .....	113
12.8.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_REQ.1)</i> .....	113
12.8.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_REQ.2)</i> .....	119
12.9	TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION (ASE_TSS) .....	124
12.9.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_TSS.1)</i> .....	124
12.9.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_TSS.2)</i> .....	125
12.10	CONSISTENCY OF COMPOSITE PRODUCT SECURITY TARGET (ASE_COMP) .....	127
12.10.1	<i>General</i> .....	127
12.10.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_COMP.1)</i> .....	127
<b>13</b>	<b>CLASS ADV: DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>132</b>
13.1	GENERAL .....	132
13.2	APPLICATION NOTES .....	132
13.3	SECURITY ARCHITECTURE (ADV_ARC) .....	133
13.3.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_ARC.1)</i> .....	133
13.4	FUNCTIONAL SPECIFICATION (ADV_FSP) .....	137
13.4.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_FSP.1)</i> .....	137
13.4.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_FSP.2)</i> .....	140

13.4.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_FSP.3)	145
13.4.4	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_FSP.4)	150
13.4.5	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_FSP.5)	155
13.4.6	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_FSP.6)	161
13.5	IMPLEMENTATION REPRESENTATION (ADV_IMP)	161
13.5.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_IMP.1)	161
13.5.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_IMP.2)	164
13.6	TSF INTERNALS (ADV_INT)	166
13.6.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_INT.1)	166
13.6.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_INT.2)	169
13.6.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_INT.3)	171
13.7	FORMAL TSF MODEL (ADV_SPM)	173
13.7.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_SPM.1)	173
13.8	TOE DESIGN (ADV_TDS)	180
13.8.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_TDS.1)	180
13.8.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_TDS.2)	183
13.8.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_TDS.3)	188
13.8.4	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_TDS.4)	197
13.8.5	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_TDS.5)	206
13.8.6	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_TDS.6)	213
13.9	COMPOSITE DESIGN COMPLIANCE (ADV_COMP)	214
13.9.1	General	214
13.9.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_COMP.1)	214
<b>14</b>	<b>CLASS AGD: GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS</b>	<b>216</b>
14.1	GENERAL	216
14.2	APPLICATION NOTES	216
14.3	OPERATIONAL USER GUIDANCE (AGD_OPE)	216
14.3.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (AGD_OPE.1)	216
14.4	PREPARATIVE PROCEDURES (AGD_PRE)	219
14.4.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (AGD_PRE.1)	219
<b>15</b>	<b>CLASS ALC: LIFE-CYCLE SUPPORT</b>	<b>221</b>
15.1	GENERAL	221
15.2	CM CAPABILITIES (ALC_CMC)	222
15.2.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMC.1)	222
15.2.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMC.2)	223
15.2.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMC.3)	224
15.2.4	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMC.4)	228
15.2.5	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMC.5)	233
15.3	CM SCOPE (ALC_CMS)	240
15.3.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMS.1)	240
15.3.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMS.2)	241
15.3.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMS.3)	242
15.3.4	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMS.4)	243
15.3.5	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMS.5)	244
15.4	DELIVERY (ALC_DEL)	245
15.4.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_DEL.1)	245
15.5	DEVELOPMENT SECURITY (ALC_DVS)	247
15.5.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_DVS.1)	247
15.5.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_DVS.2)	249
15.6	FLAW REMEDIATION (ALC_FLR)	252
15.6.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_FLR.1)	252
15.6.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_FLR.2)	254
15.6.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_FLR.3)	257
15.7	LIFE-CYCLE DEFINITION (ALC_LCD)	262
15.7.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_LCD.1)	262
15.7.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_LCD.2)	263

15.8	TOE DEVELOPMENT ARTIFACTS (ALC_TDA).....	265
15.8.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_TDA.1)</i> .....	265
15.8.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_TDA.2)</i> .....	268
15.8.3	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_TDA.3)</i> .....	272
15.9	TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES (ALC_TAT).....	276
15.9.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_TAT.1)</i> .....	276
15.9.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_TAT.2)</i> .....	278
15.9.3	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_TAT.3)</i> .....	281
15.10	INTEGRATION OF COMPOSITION PARTS AND CONSISTENCY CHECK OF DELIVERY PROCEDURES (ALC_COMP).....	284
15.10.1	<i>General</i> .....	284
15.10.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_COMP.1)</i> .....	284
<b>16</b>	<b>CLASS ATE: TESTS.....</b>	<b>286</b>
16.1	GENERAL.....	286
16.2	APPLICATION NOTES.....	287
16.2.1	<i>Understanding the expected behaviour of the TOE</i> .....	287
16.2.2	<i>Testing vs. alternate approaches to verify the expected behaviour of functionality</i> .....	288
16.2.3	<i>Verifying the adequacy of tests</i> .....	288
16.3	COVERAGE (ATE_COV).....	288
16.3.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_COV.1)</i> .....	288
16.3.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_COV.2)</i> .....	289
16.3.3	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_COV.3)</i> .....	291
16.4	DEPTH (ATE_DPT).....	293
16.4.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_DPT.1)</i> .....	293
16.4.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_DPT.2)</i> .....	295
16.4.3	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_DPT.3)</i> .....	298
16.4.4	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_DPT.4)</i> .....	300
16.5	FUNCTIONAL TESTS (ATE_FUN).....	300
16.5.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_FUN.1)</i> .....	300
16.5.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_FUN.2)</i> .....	303
16.6	INDEPENDENT TESTING (ATE_IND).....	307
16.6.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_IND.1)</i> .....	307
16.6.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_IND.2)</i> .....	311
16.6.3	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_IND.3)</i> .....	316
16.7	COMPOSITE FUNCTIONAL TESTING (ATE_COMP).....	316
16.7.1	<i>General</i> .....	316
16.7.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_COMP.1)</i> .....	316
<b>17</b>	<b>CLASS AVA: VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT.....</b>	<b>317</b>
17.1	GENERAL.....	317
17.2	VULNERABILITY ANALYSIS (AVA_VAN).....	318
17.2.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (AVA_VAN.1)</i> .....	318
17.2.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (AVA_VAN.2)</i> .....	323
17.2.3	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (AVA_VAN.3)</i> .....	329
17.2.4	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (AVA_VAN.4)</i> .....	337
17.2.5	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (AVA_VAN.5)</i> .....	345
17.3	COMPOSITE VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT (AVA_COMP).....	352
17.3.1	<i>General</i> .....	352
17.3.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (AVA_COMP.1)</i> .....	352
<b>18</b>	<b>CLASS ACO: COMPOSITION.....</b>	<b>354</b>
18.1	GENERAL.....	354
18.2	APPLICATION NOTES.....	354
18.3	COMPOSITION RATIONALE (ACO_COR).....	355
18.3.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_COR.1)</i> .....	355
18.4	DEVELOPMENT EVIDENCE (ACO_DEV).....	362
18.4.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_DEV.1)</i> .....	362
18.4.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_DEV.2)</i> .....	363

18.4.3	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_DEV.3)</i> .....	365
18.5	RELIANCE OF DEPENDENT COMPONENT (ACO_REL).....	368
18.5.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_REL.1)</i> .....	368
18.5.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_REL.2)</i> .....	370
18.6	COMPOSED TOE TESTING (ACO_CTT).....	372
18.6.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_CTT.1)</i> .....	372
18.6.2	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_CTT.2)</i> .....	375
18.7	COMPOSITION VULNERABILITY ANALYSIS (ACO_VUL).....	378
18.7.1	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_VUL.1)</i> .....	378
18.7.2	<i>Application notes</i> .....	378
18.7.3	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_VUL.2)</i> .....	381
18.7.4	<i>Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_VUL.3)</i> .....	384
<b>ANNEX A (INFORMATIVE) GENERAL EVALUATION GUIDANCE</b> .....		<b>389</b>
<b>A.1</b>	<b>OBJECTIVES</b> .....	<b>389</b>
<b>A.2</b>	<b>SAMPLING</b> .....	<b>389</b>
<b>A.3</b>	<b>DEPENDENCIES</b> .....	<b>391</b>
<b>A.3.1</b>	<b>GENERAL</b> .....	<b>391</b>
<b>A.3.2</b>	<b>DEPENDENCIES BETWEEN ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>391</b>
<b>A.3.3</b>	<b>DEPENDENCIES BETWEEN SUB-ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>391</b>
<b>A.3.4</b>	<b>DEPENDENCIES BETWEEN ACTIONS</b> .....	<b>391</b>
<b>A.4</b>	<b>SITE VISITS</b> .....	<b>391</b>
<b>A.4.1</b>	<b>GENERAL</b> .....	<b>391</b>
<b>A.4.2</b>	<b>GENERAL APPROACH</b> .....	<b>392</b>
<b>A.5</b>	<b>ORIENTATION GUIDE FOR THE PREPARATION OF THE CHECKLIST</b> .....	<b>393</b>
<b>A.5.1</b>	<b>ASPECTS OF CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT</b> .....	<b>393</b>
<b>A.5.2</b>	<b>ASPECTS OF DEVELOPMENT SECURITY</b> .....	<b>393</b>
<b>A.5.3</b>	<b>EXAMPLE OF A CHECKLIST</b> .....	<b>394</b>
<b>A.6</b>	<b>SCHEME RESPONSIBILITIES</b> .....	<b>397</b>
<b>ANNEX B (INFORMATIVE) VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT (AVA)</b> .....		<b>399</b>
<b>B.1</b>	<b>WHAT IS VULNERABILITY ANALYSIS</b> .....	<b>399</b>
<b>B.2</b>	<b>EVALUATOR CONSTRUCTION OF A VULNERABILITY ANALYSIS</b> .....	<b>399</b>
<b>B.3</b>	<b>GENERIC VULNERABILITY GUIDANCE</b> .....	<b>400</b>
<b>B.3.1</b>	<b>BYPASSING</b> .....	<b>400</b>
<b>B.3.2</b>	<b>TAMPERING</b> .....	<b>402</b>
<b>B.3.3</b>	<b>DIRECT ATTACKS</b> .....	<b>405</b>
<b>B.3.4</b>	<b>MONITORING</b> .....	<b>405</b>
<b>B.3.5</b>	<b>MISUSE</b> .....	<b>406</b>
<b>B.4</b>	<b>IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIAL VULNERABILITIES</b> .....	<b>407</b>
<b>B.4.1</b>	<b>ENCOUNTERED</b> .....	<b>407</b>
<b>B.4.2</b>	<b>ANALYSIS</b> .....	<b>408</b>
<b>B.4.2.1</b>	<b>GENERAL</b> .....	<b>408</b>
<b>B.4.2.2</b>	<b>UNSTRUCTURED ANALYSIS</b> .....	<b>408</b>

B.4.2.3	FOCUSED.....	408
B.4.2.4	METHODICAL.....	409
B.5	WHEN ATTACK POTENTIAL IS USED.....	410
B.5.1	DEVELOPER.....	410
B.5.2	EVALUATOR.....	410
B.6	CALCULATING ATTACK POTENTIAL.....	411
B.6.1	APPLICATION OF ATTACK POTENTIAL.....	411
B.6.1.1	GENERAL.....	411
B.6.1.2	TREATMENT OF MOTIVATION.....	411
B.6.2	CHARACTERISING ATTACK POTENTIAL.....	412
B.6.2.1	GENERAL.....	412
B.6.2.2	DETERMINING THE ATTACK POTENTIAL.....	412
B.6.2.3	FACTORS TO BE CONSIDERED.....	412
B.6.2.4	CALCULATION OF ATTACK POTENTIAL.....	415
B.7	EXAMPLE CALCULATION FOR DIRECT ATTACK.....	418
	ANNEX C (INFORMATIVE) EVALUATION TECHNIQUES AND TOOLS.....	420
C.1	SEMIFORMAL AND FORMAL METHODS.....	420
C.1.1	GENERAL.....	420
C.1.2	DESCRIPTION OF STYLES.....	420
C.1.2.1	INFORMAL STYLE.....	421
C.1.2.2	SEMIFORMAL STYLE.....	422
C.1.2.3	FORMAL STYLE.....	423



## List of figures

FIGURE 1 — MAPPING OF THE ISO/IEC 15408 SERIES AND ISO/IEC 18045 STRUCTURES .....	5
FIGURE 2 — GENERIC EVALUATION MODEL .....	7
FIGURE 3 — EXAMPLE OF THE VERDICT ASSIGNMENT RULE .....	8
FIGURE 4 — ETR INFORMATION CONTENT FOR A PP EVALUATION .....	12
FIGURE 5 — ETR INFORMATION CONTENT FOR A PP-CONFIGURATION EVALUATION.....	14
FIGURE 6 — ETR INFORMATION CONTENT FOR A TOE EVALUATION.....	17
FIGURE 7 — RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PPS AND PP-MODULES IN A PP-CONFIGURATION .....	50
FIGURE 8 — EXAMPLE OF EXACT CONFORMANCE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN AN ST AND PPS.....	94

## List of tables

TABLE 1 — ASE_COMP .....	127
TABLE 2 — ADV_COMP .....	214
TABLE 3 — ALC_COMP .....	284
TABLE 4 — ATE_COMP .....	316
TABLE 5 — AVA_COMP .....	352
TABLE A.1 — EXAMPLE OF A CHECKLIST AT EAL 4 (EXTRACT) .....	395
TABLE B.1 — VULNERABILITY TESTING AND ATTACK POTENTIAL .....	410
TABLE B.2 — CALCULATION OF ATTACK POTENTIAL .....	415
TABLE B.3 — RATING OF VULNERABILITIES AND TOE RESISTANCE .....	417

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives) or [www.iec.ch/members\\_experts/refdocs](http://www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see <http://patents.iec.ch>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html). In the IEC, see [www.iec.ch/understanding-standards](http://www.iec.ch/understanding-standards).

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 27, *Information security, cybersecurity and privacy protection*.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO/IEC 18045:2008), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- the exact conformance type has been introduced;
- low assurance PPs have been removed and direct rationale PPs have been introduced;
- PP-modules and PP-configurations for modular evaluations have been introduced;
- multi-assurance evaluation has been introduced.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html) and [www.iec.ch/national-committees](http://www.iec.ch/national-committees).

## Legal notice

The governmental organizations listed below contributed to the development of this version of the Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluations. As the joint holders of the copyright in the Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluations (called CEM), they hereby grant non-exclusive license to ISO/IEC to use CEM in the continued development/maintenance of the ISO/IEC 18045 International Standard. However, these governmental organizations retain the right to use, copy, distribute, translate or modify CEM as they see fit.”

Australia	The Australian Signals Directorate
Canada	Communications Security Establishment
France	Agence Nationale de la Sécurité des Systèmes d'Information
Germany	Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik
Japan	Information-technology Promotion Agency
Netherlands	Netherlands National Communications Security Agency
New Zealand	Government Communications Security Bureau
Republic of Korea	National Security Research Institute
Spain	Ministerio de Asuntos Económicos y Transformación Digital
Sweden	FMV, Swedish Defence Materiel Administration
United Kingdom	National Cyber Security Centre
United States	The National Security Agency

## Introduction

The target audience for this document is primarily evaluators applying the ISO/IEC 15408 series and certifiers confirming evaluator actions. Evaluation sponsors, developers, protection profile (PP), PP-Module, PP-Configuration, and security target (ST) authors, and other parties interested in IT security, can be a secondary audience.

This document cannot answer all questions concerning IT security evaluation and further interpretations may be needed. Individual schemes determine how to handle such interpretations, although these can be subject to mutual recognition agreements. A list of methodology-related activities that can be handled by individual schemes can be found in Annex A.

This document is intended to be used in conjunction with the ISO/IEC 15408 series.

NOTE 1 Reference throughout the document to ISO/IEC 15408 implies the ISO/IEC 15408 series.

NOTE 2 This document uses bold and italic type in some cases to distinguish terms from the rest of the text. The relationship between components within a family is highlighted using a bolding convention. This convention calls for the use of bold type for all new requirements. For hierarchical components, requirements are presented in bold type when they are enhanced or modified beyond the requirements of the previous component. In addition, any new or enhanced permitted operations beyond the previous component are also highlighted using bold type.

The use of italics indicates text that has a precise meaning. For security assurance requirements the convention is for special verbs relating to evaluation.



# Information security, cybersecurity and privacy protection — Evaluation criteria for IT security — Methodology for IT security evaluation

## 1 Scope

This document defines the minimum actions to be performed by an evaluator in order to conduct an ISO/IEC 15408 series evaluation, using the criteria and evaluation evidence defined in the ISO/IEC 15408 series.

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 15408-1:2022, *Information security, cybersecurity and privacy protection — Evaluation criteria for IT security — Part 1: Introduction and general model*

ISO/IEC 15408-2:2022, *Information security, cybersecurity and privacy protection — Evaluation criteria for IT security — Part 2: Security functional components*

ISO/IEC 15408-3:2022, *Information security, cybersecurity and privacy protection — Evaluation criteria for IT security — Part 3: Security assurance components*

ISO/IEC 15408-4, *Information security, cybersecurity and privacy protection — Evaluation criteria for IT security — Part 4: Framework for the specification of evaluation methods and activities*

ISO/IEC 15408-5, *Information security, cybersecurity and privacy protection — Evaluation criteria for IT security — Part 5: Pre-defined packages of security requirements*

ISO/IEC IEEE 24765, *Systems and software engineering — Vocabulary*